The Athenian Mercury

Saturday, April, 11. 1691.

Hat is the Soul of Man, and whether

Answ. 'Tis a known Story of the Philosopher, who being ask'd what God was, took at first a dayes time to answer it, and when that was elaps'd, demanded still more time for the Resolution, 'till at length he was compell'd to acknowledge 'twas an unfathomable Depth wherein he might soon lose himself, but never find a Bottom. The excellent Epiderus thus accosts his Friend, -" Thy Reason makes thee a kin to GOD - see that thou do nothing unworthy fo great a Relation. If then the Soul be so like God, it must be difficult to find that out to perfection, though something may be known of that as well as its Maker. An exact Definition we can't pretend to give, nor perhaps any Man upon Earth, fince our actual knowledge being so short that we understand not Manter it self, no wonder if what we now suppose, and shall endeavour to prove immaterial, shou'd extreamly gravel and confound us: Some tolerable Description then will be as far as we shall pretend to advance, but therein hope to give somewhat diffincter Notion of the thing than is usually brought, afterting nothing but what is or shall be made intelligible, and that from such Principles as are either agreed upon by all Sects in Philosophy, or have the as undoubted fuffiage of Experience or common Reason, and which we hope will be able to folve most of the Ob. jections brought against this Doctrine; with all requiring thus much Justice of the Reader not to condemn any thing before he has thorough confider'd it, and then read ly granting him the Philosophical Liberty of making what Objections he pleases.

Our Notion then of the Soul of Man is - That 'tis an Immaterial Substance made after the Image of GOD, which together which a rightly Organiz'd Body, makes a Man - The Explanation whereof will we hope give a tolerable Refo-

lution of this grand Question.

1. Tis a Substance, which we prove, 1. By the Definition of a Substance - A thing subsisting by it self, and subject to Accidents. That the Soul sublists by it self, will be granted, if we can prove that 'tis not in any thing as an Accident, that is, fo as to be absent without the injury or destruction of the Subject, which will be done anon, when we prove 'tis no Accident. That 'tis subject to Accidents, is plain, and that too as a last Subject; Learning, and a hundred other things are Accidents, yet we see some learned, others unlearned, &c.

2. It must be a Substance, because 'tis no Accident and one of the two it must be if it be any thing: (and that there is some Principle of Action within us, none deny.) This is prov'd both from the general Notion of an Accident, hinted at before, which denys it to be a last Subject, as the Soul's; and more clearly by removing all those Accidents which are pretended to conflitute what we call the Soul of Man. Among which 'twill be sufficient if we prove 'tis no quality or temperament of the Body arifing from different qualities and humours. A made Quality can't act, though when in a requifire Subject it may in fome sense enable it to act. But this Principle within us does it self act, both upon the Body and Ideas which it has form'd either with or without its aid. And if one Quality cannot aft, no more can several, or I know not what Resultance from all together. Further, were this Principle of Action within us, which we call the Soul nothing but fuch a fort of Crasis of the Body, conlisting of, or resulting from its different humours, this Soul must necessarily decay as this Temperament is injur'd or weaken'd by Difeafes or approaching Death. But nothing more common than to see Persons just going out of the World, when to be fure the Body is in sufficient disorder, enjoying their Reason, in as high a degree as ever, and not rarely more intensely than while in perfect Health, which not only proves this Principle of Action within (whatever it is) something far nobler than a fleeting kind of I know not what quality or qualities, but leads us fairly to the first and remote Difference of the Soul, its immateriality, that very indigestible Term to some Persons, without fear of whole Censures or Arguments we shall fall on that

Second Branch of our Difinition, and endeavour, we hope not unsuccessfully to prove it - An Immaterial Substance. And this we shall do,

I by removing any suppos'd absurdity or contradiction in

those Terms. The World has seen a fet of Philosophers who will pretend to regulate both the thoughts and words of all Mankind by their own Notions and Whimfies. Mr. Hobbs would fain teach Aristotle to speak, and will neither let him nor us call a spade a spade, because he thinks a band-barrow wou'd be a proper word for't. Never had Man groffer Notions, though he pretends to be the most refin'd of Men, and neither to speak or think without a demonstration, this mayn't be so much digression as some will be apt to call it: The common Idiom of our Languague and the vulgars Difcourse generally use the word substance in the grofer sence, for something they can feel, and which for that reason they generally call fubfiantial, making the very dullest of of their senses the sole Judge of what is so very Nice, that even in the Judgment of Mr. Hobbs himself it often flys our senses, and prchaps in some Cases our very Reason Nor do the People, for this very Cause, ever dream that the Air is a substance, (making that and Ghost terms convertible though we are fure 'tis fo, as that the Earth it felf is. However this Notion extreamly pleas'd those short-thinking Persons who were glad to find any opportunity of laughing at what they did not understand, and was accordingly improv'd by the forenam'd Gentleman. But should we fift this matter to the bostom, 'tis the thing, not the word they are angry with, and if we once prove there is such a thing as an immaterial substance, which is just as great an impropriety as rational Animal, they may grieve their Hearts out, before they make us think the words abfurd or ridiculous.

That the Soul is a substance we have already prov'd that it may without any absurdity he an immaterial substance, we have endeavour'd to show, that it is such a substance we

shall thus proceed to evince.

The Esences of things are known by their Properties and Operations: Whatever then alls beyond the power of matter cannot be matter, is fomething above matter, is immaterial. This the Soul of Man does, therefore it deserves

that Title.

The grand Question it must be confest'd, is still, How far the Power of Matter reaches? or if that ben't so proper a, Term, How far Matter may be modify'd by a superiour Agent, and to what finess it may be reduc'd and how curious Machines may be found out of it: That we don't pretend to resolve, but this we may venture to say, that if we can find something which has no relation, or congruity with Matter, or at least is not such; upon such an Object we may conclude Maner cannot naturally all. But such Nople Conjunction and Division, or Affirmation and Negation; fill continu'd-Reflection, with a posibility of fill drawing it finer and finer almost infinitely: These things meer Matter, seems not capable of, how subtle and fine foever youl'I suppose it, because it alls only by Images, but we have no Image of Affirmation and Negation, or Refle-Gion either; Adions we are fure pals within us, and which we learns not from abroad, but cou'd exercise as long since as we are able to remember. The Words indeed by which we express those Actions we receive from abroad, but not the things; I have a Notion of a Tree, a House, a Man, in my Fancy, and can thut my Eye and reflect vividly enough on the spapes of 'em depicted in my Brain; but defie all the World to show me a Pidure of that Reflection, and so onward, or to tell me in what Colours - the All of Affire mation and Negation, I will and I will not, are infcrib'd in the Fancy.

Nay further, the very Notion or Idea of an immaterial or fpiritual substance, which we find much after the same manner with those before mention'd instamps on our minds wou'd be a very confiderable Argument of the truth and reality of the thing it felf, could we once prove it innates and not received from ourward Images by Discourse or Reading - But this 'tis Possible in a great measure to perform; for we find no beginning in History of this Nation. No Age, nor perhaps Place where 'tis not believ'd; confusedly or not is not the Question, fince 'tis enough we are thus far certain - that a State after Death has been univerfally credited, and that we have fomething in us wich furvives our Bodies: But the Politick Institutions and Laws of perhaps all Nations in the World we can track and difcover; of this we can never find the root, for ever shall any where but in our felves, how long loever we conti-

nue the fruitless Enquiry.

The next Member of our Discription of the Soul is, That 'tis made after the Image of God] Nor will that be found so loofe or indistind a Notion as some will at the first glance perhaps imagine it. I be ieve Moses wrote as a Philosopher as well as a Divine, and at leaft in what concerns the Happiness of Man, under which some competent knowledge of his own Soul feems to be included, gives as just Notions of things: He tells us, that Man was Created after Gods Image; this I don't expect flould pass with those who pretend themselves so averse to Authority without Reason - Tis from Experience then, both of others and themselves we are to argue with'em. Accordingly we say that Man was made with a dependance on, subserviency to, and Image of God, as Beafts bear the fame Kelation to Man; and add, that this Image will very much explain the Nature of the humane Soul, and give us some of those incommunicable Properties there, of which no Beaft can ever pretend to, though they have some fort of image or resemblance of

We all then acknowledge, that that adorable perfection who made the World is unbounded or Infinite in all his attributes. We shall instance in some of them, and shew the Resemblance our Souls bear towards them, both as to their extent and perfection; and these are the knowledge of God; his Power and Sovereignty; and his Julice and Love of order. Now the Soul has a lively Image or Resemblance of the first of these in its infinite Capacity and unbounded desire of Knowledge, which, whatever the Sea may have, has hardly any Shore to which it shall go and no further, nor can ever be satisfied with less than an Infinite Object. It has secondly an Image of the Sovereignly and Power of God, in that Empire it has over it felf and the vifible World, and that noble Liberty it has towards represented Objects: This defire too is inexpleable by all the World, and carries a fort of an infiniteness with it. Lastly, it bears about with it an Image of the Julice of God, in its natural Love to Order, and that Conscience which it can never totally efface, but which fits embron'd in the Mind, is absolute and Sovereign there, can never be fore'd or control'd, but passes Judgment within its felf both of a mans own Actions, and those of all the Vaiverse.

Nor is any of this suppos'd, only, 'tis plain undenyable matter of Fast, and what all the World must acknowledge,

if they be either just or Ingenious.

But none of all these Divine Signatures are, that we know of, in Brute Creatures, which are but meer modifyed matter, nor ought we to grant any powers in them which cannot be prov'd and clear'd by such Acts as are not equivocal and uncertain. Their Knowledge, if they have any thing can be call'd so, has nothing like Infinite in it, nor so much as a Capacity thereunto. Tis but the faint Image of ours, as ours of a bigber, and must needs be as dilute and weak as the Rays of the Sun when reflected and refracted feveral times from one Object to another. 'Tis only or principally for the Service of man, to whom 'tis of much more uje than to themselves: 'Tis limited one way, for one direct use and end. There appears no consciousness of it, nor Reflection upon it, abstractedly considered, as we are sure we have in our own, and can never prove in theirs; and so in the other Inflances mentioned.

The last Clause of the Description is this, [which united with a fitly organiz'd body, conflitutes a man.] As what went before diffinguish'd it from meer matter, to this does from meer spirit, or Angel: - As for the latter branch of the Question, Whether this Soul be Eternal? If what's already prov'd stands firm, that will hardly be deny'd For if by eternal is intended only Immortal, as I prefume the Querift only means, or eternal a parte post as the Schools call ; it must unavoidably be so, because 'tis immaterial; for I can conceive no means of its ceafing to be, because I can have no notion of a disolution where there are no parts, or

** Twas refolv'd to have discust another great Quefion nearly ally'd to this of the 60ul of man, namely concerning the knowledge of Brutes, and what Principles they are afted by in those strange things they perform -- But this now dispatcht being of great moment, and taking up more time than was deligned, that must be postponed to the next Tuesday.

none without each other.

Quest. 2. Do words express things as they are in their own

Answ. Yes they do; for if our words express not the things which we conceive in our Minds, as they are in their own Natures, then our Conceptions are erroneous, and our words improper or falle; and if there be not an edequation of our Conceptions with the things we conceive, there can be no metaphylical truth in us; wich confideth in the agreement of our thoughts with the things, as etbieal truth doth in the consent of our words to our thoughts, Our Conceptions are our internal words which represent real things, and our external words represent these Con-

ceptions, and, by confequence, they express things as they are in their natures; So Adam in Paradife gave Names to the Creatures according to their Natures, and fo have wife men ever fince. The Latines call the Sea Mare, quafi amarum, from its faltness or bitterness, for it is so in ics own nature.

Quest 3. Whether Judicial Astrology is lawful?

Anjw. Amongst all Sciences I know not of any one fo ridiculous; the Artbeing a superstructure upon faise Foundations. The whole is too much to treat on here, I will only expose the Ground-work, and leave the World to judge what that building must be which is erected on fuch ftuff .-- The first business of the Astrologers is to take the Position of the Planets, and examine their Aspells, Dignities, Debilities, Ge. An'Afpett is the difference of degrees between such and such Planets, as a Trine, Quartile, Sextile, Ge. A Dignity or Debility (according to Olivania) is the increase or decrease of the innate Vertue of the Planet by being in fuch or fuch a fign of the Zodiack, believes Retrogradation, Station, Direction have their Dignities and Dehilities assigned 'em, &c. too tedious to repear: But by the way, why should a Station be charged with two debilities, whereas in reason it ought to have Dignities, being stronger in influence (if any) by its fixing. Why should a Cazimi be five fortitudes, and yet Combustian, which is nearer the Sun, an by confequence of a greater influence (if any) have five Debilities. And how should a Sextile and Trine be good, and a Quartile, which is detween both, and farther from an Opposition than a Trine, be bad. Again, Saurn, Jupiter and Mars from their Conjunction of the Sun to their Opposition have two Fortitudes, and from their Oppolition to their Conjunction have two Debilities : And yet in the great Semi-circ'e that carries from Opposition to Conjunction, they are far nearer the Sun, and therefore (if at any time) much ftronger than in the beginning of that Semicircle that leads from their Conjunction to their Opposition-Again, Mars is hot because 'tis red, Saturn cold because pale, &c. Now 'tis demonstrable that Stars are opake and dark bodies, only they borrow their light from the Reflection of the Suns beams upon the Earth, and are probably as cold as the Earth it felf, it being remarkable that the greatest Frosts happen when the Skye appears the most Starry; all which Suppositions want reason as much as they do demonstration .-- But to ruine the whole body of Astrology at once, We affert that there is no real Zodiack in Heaven, or if ye will, no Heaven, for fuch a Zodiack where these impostors would have Houses tenantable by Trigons, Triplicities, &c. 'Tis an old Error of Ptolomy and his Followers, who, not underflanding the true System of the World, and the Motion of the Earth, in which is folv'd the 'Anticiparion of the Æquinoxes, have fram'd an Heaven above Cælum Stellatum, and a Zodiack that did not recede from East to West as the starry Zodiack does, which some of the most learned A strologers are fo ingenious as to confess. Whereupon it appears that all the noise of Exaltation, Triplicity, Trigons, Aspects, Wee are a fardel of Gibberish Nullities, invented on purpose to abuse the Credulity of Children and Fools.

Thus much for Aftrologers and Scholars to judge of, and for the fake of others, we add if the Stars could infer a necessity of such and such Events, Mans endeavours are fruitless .-- That all born at the same Moment should have the same Fortunes; That two Duelifts defiring to know their Fate, and coming to an Aftrologer at the same time, should by one Position of the Heavens be resolved they both should be Conquerors, or both conquered .--- That Affrologers, for all their knowing what Climate is best to live in, when to travail, &c. can never tell the best for themlelves, but are generally mean, little needy fellows, whose Life gives their Pretensions the Lye. That though these pretend to foretel the greatest Events, as the rise and fall of Kingdoms, they are ignorant in little ones, as their own Fate .-- This Art takes all men off from their dependance on Heaven, teaching them to attribute to the Creatures what is due to the Creator. An Altrologer is the most notorious Lyar, by saying Venus causes Adultery, Mars Murder, Jupiter Righteousnels, &c. I have done, only I will propose one Query to the Astrologers, and if they answer it, and tell me by what Rule, I promise an open Recantation of all I have faid; the Query is, Who is the Author of this Answer?

Quest. 4. An liceas mulieribus bellum gerere?

Answ. Philosophi voluerunt, ut alia exercitia ita bella mulieribus cum viris effe communia; verum Scriptura docet ordinarie eas familiam curare debere, & rationem habere pudicitiæ; ita tamen ut, fi necessitas Reip. conservandæ id exegerit, debeant milites juvare; & fi principes freminæ fuerint, eas posse exercitui interesse, & aliquomodo præeffe, ut exemplum Dehoræ ? udic. 4. & aliarum docuit : ordinarie vero militiæ studere non debent.

The Athenian Mercury:

Refolving WEEKLY all the most

Nice and Curious Questions

Propos'd by the INGENIOUS.

Licented and Entred according to Arder.

THat fort of Creatures the World in the Moon may be supposed to be inhabited by? Whether they are governed by revealed Laws as we are, and whether they have bodies like ours, and what fort of Nourishment or Life they have?

Answ. We won't be so uncivil to the Gentleman who fends this Question, as to call him a Lunatick one, as some of the Fathers do that upon which the old Controversie about Easter depended. All we shall say is, that the Question makes a little too much hafte, and supposes on faster than any probability can follow it. Indeed we must take leave to say that the matter will hardly bear a grave Answer, since we have not so much as one footstep of either Experience or Reason to guide us therein, and we don't pretend to Revelation. Whether the Moon be a World or no like ours, all our Telescopes cannot yet give us any tolerable certainty. We fee indeed Spots upon the Face of it, some tranfient, others permanent, but can make little of 'em; thus far perhaps we may go, and I'd be unwilling to venture a step farther, because cis an easie matter to stumble in the dark,—That by what appears to us it may be habitable, fince the Shades, and Light, &c. would perfwade us 'tis a folid body, perhaps in it felf opacous too; nor have we any Reason to think it should have so much as any light of its own, nor an igneous or luminous Orb as the Sun is, because we can't find the least fenfible heat proceeding from its rays, though both shining in their own full vigour, and contracted in a Burning-Glass, whereas we have feen Glasses made of that exquisite Perfection as to gather the scattered Rays of the Sun at a North-window in a dark day when its body was clouded, so as to give a sensible warmth. - Habitable therefore we deny not but it may be, but what fort of Inhabitants dwell there, what their Knowledge, Laws, Customs and Manner of Life, we think it no great difgrace to confess our Ignorance in, and believe no man can resolve the Querist, unleis he'll please to consult Domingo Gonzales, or Bergerac's true History of those Regions, where he may meet with a very fatisfactory Account as to all the Points in Question.

Quest. 2. Where was the Land of Nod? &c. Answ. This Question has been fent in twice or thrice, by some Persons who seem to think themselves very witty, and their Objections unanswerable. But a thousand things which

at first fight appear much more difficult than these, are blown off at the first thought. In answer to this, what if we shou'd say the Land of Nod was no where, or every where, - 'Ewould ferve well enough to puzzle those who pretend to do as much by others. It may be an apellative only, fignifying a wandring and vagabond fort of Life, as some of the greatest Commentators explain it. But if it be a proper Name, we may be most likely to find it there where we find the City Enoch, foon after built by Cain. Now this City being the first City in the World, the Name, Scituation, and Memory of it might very eafily be preferved by Noah and his Sons after the Flood, and the Country thereabouts in after Ages be called by that Name. Accordingly we find the Henochians in several Places in the earliest Ages about Pontus, Colchis, Uz, East of Eden, as this Land is described, where if a determin'd Place, we shall fix the Land of Nod, till we fee any reaion to the contrary.

As for Cain's going from the Presence of the Lord, it may fairly enough be interpreted his losing Gods Favour and protecting presence by his fins. This the forementioned ingenious Gentleman thinks the sence of the words, but with all respect due to so great a man, there's another, which to us, feems more probable, namely, That he only was removed from the publick Service of God, than exercised in his Father Adams Family. Both sences are safe, let the Impartial Reader chuse which he likes best.

Quest. 3. Where extinguish'd Fire goes?

Answ. The more gross excrementitions parts of it fall down to the Earth, and the more subtle and pure mount up to its Element ; the reason why we cannot see it so soon as ever its nourishment and combustible matter is taken from it, is very satisfactorily answered, Jul. Scal. Excer. 9. viz. n dasage, sive perspicuum, nisi condensetur est adeans quia visum non terminat, that is a Diaphonous or transparent Body, except it be condens'd (as fire is when 'tis nourish'd with matter) is not visible.

Quest. 4. Whether there's any such thing as true Friendship in the World, and wherein is

Answ. I look upon this as one of the most difficult Questions yet proposed, though 'tis worded a little preposterously. — We shall therefore invertibe order of it, and then endeavour to give it Satisfaction. -- In order to

which let be first enquire into the Notion thereof, or find out wherein it confifts. In the Description whereof we'd avoid two Extreams, one the explaining it by Terms more dark than the thing it felf, as an unaccountable I know not what Sympathy whereinto some will refolve it; the other tying our felves to the strict rigid Definitions that the Philosophers give us of it, who make Virtue fo much of its Essence, that none can according to them be Friends befides good Men, which feems too fine and narrow a Notion, and contradicted by our common Experience, which often enough prefents us with Instances of the highest Faith, Generosity and Kindness towards each other in those who are of a far different Character.

The Description then which we rather chuse of Friendship is, — That 'tis an intimate Union of Minds between two Persons, founded either on Similitude or Benefits, and productive of the most

noble and generous Actions.

'Tis an Union of Minds:] A thing easily enough understood, though hard to explain, every one knows it when he feels it, and for this we may appeal to every one who has it. That 'tis not a Union of Body, that it consists not in kind Expressions and great Protestations, we have as much tertainty of, as that there are thousands married who are not Friends, and ten thousand will complement you very handsomly, who at the same time if they had a handsome opportunity, would cut your Throat.

It must be of two Persons only.] 'T will be readily granted that many Persons may behave themselves friendly towards each other, and fo be faid to have a fort of partial Friendship, But yet still one thing is certain, as was urg'd formerly in the case of Polygamy, that the more Sharers there are in a Heart, the imaller must the parts be which every one polleiles. But we speak here of Friendship in its height and vigour, not so dilute and weak as what we and in common Converse, or ordinary Acquaintance, and therefore it admits of no more than two at once as the Subject of it for in any other Circumstances the Union could not be so strict and intimate as the Definition here, and the Nature of the thing it

felf requires.

It must be founded either on mutual Similitudes or Benefits: The only Reasons and Seeds of Friendship. On Similitude, for no man loves, at least intensely, what is unlike him, though iometimes pernaps he may honour it; and this Similitude may affect without distinct reflection thereon, though generally we observe fomething alike in those we make our Friends, either in Body, Circumstances, Humour, or manner of Life. Though after all, I think Benefits are the Foundation of many more Friendships than the other, unless we'll chuse to fay that a man principally and usually fers Benefits where he finds some likeness or congruity to himself. Expect not such a thing as a perfectly difinteressed Friendship in the World, 'tis impossible, 'tis ridiculous, nay, I question whether the Angels themselves wou'd love one another, were it not for the Pleasure they find in fo doing.

Lastly, 'Tis productive of the most noble and generous Actions:] That's the effect and end of it, nothing is a greater Contradiction, or greater Nonsence, than a barren Friendship.—
'Tis the most restless thing in the World, and just contrary to its opposites, Hatred or Envy, which are never pleas'd, but when doing Mischief, is never well satisfied but while 'tis doing of good, and conferring of Benefits—and those of the highest Nature. A poor man may be really as magnanimous as a Cresus or a Casar, and no benefit in the World like Counsel, Comfort, Sympathizing with Sorrow or Joy, which are in the Power of all men to dispose of where they see convenient.

Thus have we endeavoured to give a rude kind of sketch or some sew outlines of this noble Virtue. If now we are ask'd whether there be any such thing in the World, we answer, there may be, because the notion on't is not impossible, but where it actually is we won't

pretend to discover.

Onest. 5. Why may there not be invented a per-

petual Motion ?

Anfo. Archimedes that indefatigable Inquirer into Mathematical Speculation, having this Question proposed unto him, viz. Whether he could remove the Globe of this World, he made this Answer, That if the proposer could find another Basis to fix the foot of his Engine upon, he would undertake to remove it. In like manner we fay, Find us Bodies or Matter that are qualified for a perpetual Motion, and we'll undertake the affirmative of the Question. But if upon a particular fearch of every individual Particle of the Creation, we find nothing but what is subject to change (not by flux of time, for time destroys nothing, but by) Motion and Antipathies in Nature, then it follows that the impossibility of perpetual duration, necessitates the impossibility of a perpetual Motion.

Quelt. 6. What is to be thought of the Trasmigration of

Souls ?

Answ. The Notion that we have of it is, that the great Propagators of that Doctrine, as Plato, Pythagoras, Plotinus, &c. taught it (not because they believed it, but) to ffir up their Auditors to a defire of great and vertuous Actions by telling them if in habits of Vertue their Children should be born, the Souls of the greatest Heroes would chuse them as proper Manhons for their actuation, and so on the contrary; but it appears so ridiculous a Doctrine to any thinking Persons, that 'tisscarce worth the Confutation; however for the Inquirers sake (who perhaps may think he has got the Soul of Empedocles) I will throw away one Argument to profelyte him, viz. In a Transmigration of one Soul in and out of several Bodies, which of all these Bodies must the Soul be joyned to at the day of Judgment? and if it has afted some that have been wicked and some good, how can it justly undergoe one Sentence.

Advertisement.

This is to satisfie the Gentleman that desired to know when ther he or his Friends might propose their Questions in French or Dutch, because they sould not write English, that they may (or in any other Language) and shall have Answers to them as soon as we have dispatch those Questions we have already received, which we hope to do by the 2cth. of this Instant, after which time All curious Inquirers may begin to send in their New Questions as directed in the first Gazett, viz, to be left at Mr. Smith's Coffee-bouse in Stocks market. Likewise those Gentlemen that sent us one Mathematical, and three Latin Questions this Week, shall soon hear from us to their full Satisfactions.

Saturday. Saturday.

tuganiwerable. But a thousand things which